CHAPTER III

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

3.1 Conclusions

According to the analysis, it can be concluded that:

1. The head of contract/opening between the agreement between NEC and PT INTI and the agreement between Huawei and PT INTI are slightly different in the format regarding contract number and the place of where the contract is made;
2. The *compareo* of both agreements are slightly different on the format in which one of the agreements only include the subject, the address, and the reference call of both parties involved;
3. The *praemisse* of both agreement are quite similar;
4. The use of definition is only applied by the agreement between NEC and PT INTI;
5. The main body of contract of the two contracts are different however both contracts fulfilled the *essensialia, naturalia, and aksidentalia* elements that required in the main body of contract;
6. The different format of closure of the contract between both contracts are caused by the format of the opening and the agreement between the NEC and PT INTI did not use the presence of witness;

7. Both contracts outlines regarding amendments, the different between them is only the place of the amendment clause is in.

3.2 Suggestions

After finishing the research, the writer would like to give some suggestions for the students who will take the same subject as in the following:

1. The student should find different type of contract;
2. The student should analyze different aspect of contract anatomy; and
3. The student should use different source of the theory.